

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,078	07/28/2003		Lance D. Smith	0179.0038	5945	
37247	7590	02/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		CAMP, ESQ.	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S			
SHAPIRO & 233 WILSHI		T LLP LEVARD, SUITE 70	00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA MONICA, CA 90401				1772		

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,078	SMITH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-27 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the correct and the option of the correct and the option of the	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	-
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	mmany (PTO-413)	_
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03. 	Paper No(s)	Minary (P10-415) (Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 73.
 - II. Claims 9-27, drawn to a process, classified in class 264.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different process such as one wherein no pressure is applied to the interior of the impermeable chamber in which the core is located.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Oldenkamp on February 3, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-27 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1772

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Griffith et al 5,270,092. See Figures 3 and 10, column 7, lines 55-61, column 8, lines 37-43, column 9, lines 9-15, column 11, lines 60-64 and column 14, lines 37-42. One of the layers in the envelope 5 may be considered the barrier layer and the other layers of the envelope may be considered skin layers. The tie layers in the envelope may be considered adhesive layers between the skin layers and the barrier layers.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Tokonabe et al 6,739,104. See column 4, lines 54-59, column 6, lines 55-67 and claim 5.

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tokonabe et al in view of Brayden et al 5,242,651. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a sandwich panel comprising a core, a barrier envelope encapsulating the core and skin layers on the barrier envelope; see column 4, lines 54-59, column 6, lines 55-67 and claim 5. However, the primary reference does not disclose perforating the core layer. The secondary reference discloses perforating the honeycomb core in a laminate in order to promote the flow of gas in a sandwich panel; see column 4, lines 19-26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perforate the core material in the article of the primary reference in view of the teachings of the secondary reference it order to facilitate removal of the gas when the vacuum is formed in the core of the article.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ast

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

alexander & Moura